arXiv:math/0203004v4 [math.QA] 18 Feb 2003

VERTEX ALGEBRAS AND THE CLASS ALGEBRAS OF WREATH PRODUCTS

WEIQIANG WANG

Abstract. The Jucys-Murphy elements for wreath products Γ_n associated to any finite group Γ are introduced and they play an important role in our study on the connections between class algebras of Γ_n for all n and vertex algebras. We construct an action of (a variant of) the $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ algebra acting irreducibly on the direct sum $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ of the class algebras of Γ_n for all n in a group theoretic manner. We establish various relations between convolution operators using JM elements and Heisenberg algebra operators acting on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$. As applications, we obtain two distinct sets of algebra generators for the class algebra of Γ_n and establish various stability results concerning products of normalized conjugacy classes of Γ_n and the power sums of Jucys-Murphy elements etc. We introduce a stable algebra which encodes the class algebra structures of Γ_n for all n, whose structure constants are shown to be non-negative integers. In the symmetric group case (i.e. Γ is trivial), we recover and strengthen in a uniform approach various results of Lascoux-Thibon, Kerov-Olshanski, and Farahat-Higman, etc.

1. Introduction

Let Γ be a finite group. Being a generalization of the symmetric group S_n, the wreath product Γ_n is a semidirect product $\Gamma^n \rtimes S_n$ of the n-th product group Γ^n and S_n with a natural group structure. In [21], we realized the direct sum $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ of the representation rings (or the class algebras) $R(\Gamma_n)$ of the wreath products Γ_n for all $n \geq 0$ as the Fock space of an infinite-dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra (cf. [17, 24] for related algebraic structures on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$; also cf. [6] for further applications). When Γ is trivial and thus Γ_n becomes S_n, this has been well known (cf. e.g. [11]).

As a Fock space carries a canonical structure of a vertex algebra [1, 7, 13, 4], a natural problem is to realize the vertex algebra structure on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ in a group-theoretic way. This question was raised explicitly by I. Frenkel and the author [8], where, as a next step in this direction, the Virasoro algebra was constructed acting on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ using certain convolution products in the class algebras $R(\Gamma_n)$ for all n. One expects that understanding the connections between $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ and vertex algebras will unify and shed new lights on various structures on $R(\Gamma_n)$ (typically for all n).

The goal of the present paper is to develop further the vertex operator calculus on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$. We construct in a group-theoretic manner (a variant of) the $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ algebra (parametrized by the set Γ^* of irreducible characters of Γ) acting on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$. The construction makes use of convolution products involving Jucys-Murphy elements of the wreath products which we introduce in this paper. As applications of the development of our operator formalism, we obtain stability properties and algebra generators of the class algebra $R(\Gamma_n)$. When Γ is trivial and thus Γ_n becomes S_n, we recover and strengthen in a uniform approach various results of Lascoux-Thibon, Kerov-Olshanski, and Farahat-Higman, etc, cf. [15, 14, 3].

In [22] (also cf. [21]), we stressed the significance of parallel (vertex) algebraic structures behind wreath products, Hilbert schemes, and symmetric products (although the constructions and proofs can often be different); we suggested that it is of great value to find the counterparts of one picture in another setup, to develop each picture on its own, as well as to establish connections among different pictures. (There has been much significant progress which reveals further similarities and deep connections among these subjects, cf. e.g. [20] for references in these directions.) The present work can be regarded as another example supporting this philosophy. The intuition behind the similarity of the development here on the class algebras of wreath products and on the orbifold cohomology rings of symmetric products in [20] is that the study of wreath products can be regarded as the study of the symmetric products of the orbifold (stack) pt/Γ. While the definition of Chen-Ruan orbifold cohomology [2] is very recent and uses ideas from the theory of quantum cohomology, the notion of the class algebra of a finite group is very classical. As one can put the orbifold pt/Γ (resp. $R(\Gamma)$) on the same footing as a manifold M (resp. cohomology of M), the study of wreath products (rather than the symmetric groups) remarkably retain many features of the study of symmetric products. On the other hand, the current work is purely representation-theoretic in nature and geometry-free.

Let us explain the results and the orgainization of this paper in detail. In Section 2, we review the basics on wreath products including the description of conjugacy classes. We recall our group-theoretic construction of Heisenberg algebra acting on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ (cf. Theorem 2.1).

In Section 3, we introduce the Jucys-Murphy (JM) elements for the wreath product, generalizing the original construction for the symmetric groups [12, 19]. We establish various properties of these elements which are analogous to those of the original JM elements. We then introduce operators $\mathfrak{O}(\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{O}^k(\alpha)$ in $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{R}_\Gamma)$ depending on $\alpha \in R(\Gamma)$ linearly and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, using essentially the convolution product with the k-th power sum of the JM elements for every n. The operator $\mathfrak{O}^1(\alpha)$ goes back to [8], while in the symmetric group case the operator $\mathfrak{O}^k$ was introduced earlier in Goulden [9] for $k = 1$ and in Lascoux-Thibon [15] (where the relevance of JM elements was first pointed out) for general k.

We reformulate (cf. Theorem 3.13) an explicit vertex operator formula in [8] of $\mathfrak{O}^1(\alpha)$ in terms of the Heisenberg generators in a way which makes a so-called transfer property transparent. To do this, we have formulated the class algebra $R(\Gamma)$ as a Frobenius algebra, so it makes sense to talk about the pushforward map $R(\Gamma) \to R(\Gamma)^{\otimes n}$ associated to the diagonal embedding $\Gamma \to \Gamma^n$, the "Euler class" and the "Euler number" of Γ (which turns out to be the number of conjugacy classes in Γ). We then establish a formula (cf. Theorem 3.15) involving the operator $\mathfrak{O}^k(\alpha)$ and the Heisenberg algebra generators.

In Section 4, we first introduce a family of $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ algebra parametrized by Γ^*, which we shall denote by $\mathcal{W}^\Gamma_{1+\infty}$. We recall that the $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ algebra is the universal central extension of differential operators on the circle [13], and it affords a nice free field realization [18, 5]. We realize the action of $\mathcal{W}^{\Gamma}_{1+\infty}$ on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ by the commutators of the operators $\mathfrak{O}^k(\alpha)$ and the Heisenberg algebra generators. This result extends the construction of Virasoro algebra on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ in [8] (where only $\mathfrak{O}^1(\alpha)$ was used) and generalizes the construction in [15] for the symmetric groups. To achieve such a realization, we first establish an explicit identification of the operators $\mathfrak{O}^k(\alpha)$ with differential operators on the circle, which seems new even in the symmetric group case. Our proof uses the boson-fermion correspondence and the free (fermionic) field realization of the $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ algebra. Combining this formula with Theorem 3.15, we derive an explicit formula of $\mathfrak{O}(\alpha)$ in terms of a vertex operator. This specializes to a formula of Lascoux and Thibon [15] in the symmetric group case, which was obtained by a very different method. Such a formula has been used in [15] to recover various results scattered in literature. It will be of interest to apply our general formula for wreath products to derive the corresponding generalizations.

The operator formalism in this paper is developed in a way parallel to the development in the orbifold cohomology rings of symmetric products and cohomology rings of Hilbert schemes of points on projective surfaces. In particular, Theorems 2.1, 3.13, and 3.15 have their exact counterparts in those setups. The counterparts of the three theorems were first used by Li, Qin and the author [16] to derive several other results concerning the structure of the cohomology rings of Hilbert schemes of points on a surface, together with some systematic manipulations of basic constructions such as Heisenberg operators, pushforwards of diagonals, Euler class of the surface, etc. This observation allows Qin and the author to axiomatize the setup which can be applicable for different occasions, including the study of the orbifold cohomology rings of symmetric products [20]. Our current study of the class algebras $R(\Gamma_n)$ for all n also fits into the framework, and thus we obtain various further structure results on $R(\Gamma_n)$ for free, thanks to Theorems 2.1, 3.13, and 3.15 which we have established so far.

Note however that the connections between class algebras of wreath products and $\mathcal{W}$ algebras cannot be derived in the way as in Hilbert schemes and symmetric products, essentially due to the fact that the square of the Euler class of a manifold of positive dimension is zero while any power of the Euler class of Γ is nonzero. Fortunately, we have the tool of the boson-fermion correspondence available here, which is nevertheless not effective in the geometric setup.

More explicitly, we obtain stability properties on the convolution product of normalized conjugacy classes and JM elements of wreath products, which specialize to the symmetric group case a stability result stated in Kerov-Olshanski [14] (cf. [15] for a proof). As a consequence, we are able to introduce a *stable algebra* $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma$ which encodes the class algebra structures for Γ_n for all n. We show that the structure constants of the algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma$ with respect to some suitable linear basis are nonnegative integers, following the ideas of Ivanov-Kerov [10] for the symmetric groups (also cf. [15]). Another consequence of our operator formalism is two distinct sets of algebra generators for the class algebra $R(\Gamma_n)$. In the symmetric group case, one set of our algebra generators can be shown to be equivalent to the one obtained in Farahat-Higman [3] in a totally different approach (motivated by its applications to the block theory). We mention that we have generalized some other aspects of [3] to the wreath product setup and found applications to the cohomology rings of Hilbert schemes (see [23]).

Acknowledgment. It is a pleasure to thank Leonard Scott for helpful discussions on Jucys-Murphy elements. I am grateful to Jean-Yves Thibon for very helpful email exchange and for pointing out to me the important reference [10] (which readily lead to the proof of a conjecture in an earlier version on the integrality and positivity of the structure constants of the stable algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma$). This work was supported in part by an NSF grant. Part of the work is carried out when I enjoy the hospitality of MSRI in Spring 2002.

2. The Heisenberg algebra and wreath products

2.1. **The class algebra of a finite group.** Given a finite group Γ, we denote by Γ^* the set of complex irreducible characters and by Γ_* the set of conjugacy classes. Denote by c^0 the identity conjugacy class. We denote by $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma)$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of irreducible characters of Γ. We identify $R(\Gamma) = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma)$ with the space of class functions on Γ.

For $c \in \Gamma_*$ let ζ_c be the order of the centralizer of an element in the class c. Denote by $|\Gamma|$ the order of Γ. The usual bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle_\Gamma$ on $R(\Gamma)$ is defined as follows (often we will omit the subscript Γ):

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle_\Gamma = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{x \in \Gamma} f(x) g(x^{-1}) = \sum_{c \in \Gamma_*} \zeta_c^{-1} f(c) g(c^{-1}), \tag{2.1}$$

where c^{-1} denotes the conjugacy class $\{x^{-1}, x \in c\}$. Clearly $\zeta_c = \zeta_{c^{-1}}$. It is well known that $\langle \gamma, \gamma' \rangle = \delta_{\gamma,\gamma'}, \quad \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma^*$, and

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^*} \gamma(c') \gamma(c^{-1}) \quad = \quad \delta_{c,c'} \zeta_c, \quad c, c' \in \Gamma_*. \tag{2.2}$$

One may regard $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ as the space of functions on Γ, and thus $R(\Gamma)$ as a subspace of $\operatorname{ad}\Gamma$-invariants of $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$. The *convolution product* in $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ is defined by

$$(\beta \circ \gamma)(x) = \sum_{y \in \Gamma} \beta(xy^{-1}) \gamma(y), \quad \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}[\Gamma], x \in \Gamma.$$

In particular if $\beta, \gamma \in R(\Gamma)$, then so is $\beta \circ \gamma$. We will often write $\beta \circ \gamma$ as $\beta\gamma$ when there is no ambiguity. In this way, $R(\Gamma)$ is identified with the center of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$, and is often referred to as the *class algebra* of Γ. It is well known that

$$\beta\gamma = \beta \circ \gamma = \delta_{\beta,\gamma} h_\gamma \cdot \gamma, \quad \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma^*, \tag{2.3}$$

where $h_\gamma = |\Gamma|/d_\gamma$, and d_γ is the *degree* of the irreducible character γ. It is known that h_γ is always an integer.

Given a conjugacy class $c \in \Gamma_*$, we denote by $K^c = \sum_{a \in c} a$ the characteristic class function of c, i.e. the function which takes value 1 on elements in the conjugacy class c and 0 elsewhere.

2.2. **Conjugacy classes of wreath product Γ_n.** Given a positive integer n, let $\Gamma^n = \Gamma \times \cdots \times \Gamma$ be the n-th direct product of Γ. The symmetric group S_n acts on Γ^n by permutations: $\sigma(g_1, \cdots, g_n) = (g_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \cdots, g_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$. The wreath product of Γ with S_n is defined to be the semidirect product

$$\Gamma_n = \{(g, \sigma) | g = (g_1, \cdots, g_n) \in \Gamma^n, \sigma \in S_n\}$$

with the multiplication

$$(g, \sigma) \cdot (h, \tau) = (g\, \sigma(h), \sigma\tau). \tag{2.4}$$

We denote by $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_l$ and the length $\ell(\lambda) = \ell$, for a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_l)$, where $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_l \geq 1$. We will also make use of another notation for partitions: $\lambda = (1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \cdots)$, where m_i is the number of parts in λ equal to i. For a finite set X and $\rho = (\rho(x))_{x \in X}$ a family of partitions indexed by X, we write $\|\rho\| = \sum_{x \in X} |\rho(x)|$. Sometimes it is convenient to regard $\rho = (\rho(x))_{x \in X}$ as a partition-valued function on X. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(X)$ the set of all partitions indexed by X and by $\mathcal{P}_n(X)$ the set of all partitions in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $\|\rho\| = n$.

The conjugacy classes of Γ_n can be described in the following way (cf. [17]). Let $x = (g, \sigma) \in \Gamma_n$, where $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in \Gamma^n$, $\sigma \in S_n$. The permutation σ is written as a product of disjoint cycles. For each such cycle $y = (i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k)$ the element $g_{i_k} g_{i_{k-1}} \cdots g_{i_1} \in \Gamma$ is determined up to conjugacy in Γ by g and y, and will be called the *cycle-product* of x corresponding to the cycle y. For any conjugacy class c and each integer $i \geq 1$, the number of i-cycles in σ whose cycle-product lies in c will be denoted by $m_i(c)$. Denote by $\rho(c)$ the partition $(1^{m_1(c)} 2^{m_2(c)} \ldots)$, $c \in \Gamma_*$. Then each element $x = (g, \sigma) \in \Gamma_n$ gives rise to a partition-valued function $(\rho(c))_{c \in \Gamma_*} \in \mathcal{P}(\Gamma_*)$ such that $\sum_{r,c} r m_r(c) = n$. The partition-valued function $\rho = (\rho(c))_{c \in G_*}$ is called the *type* of x. It is known that any two elements of Γ_n are conjugate in Γ_n if and only if they have the same type. We denote by C_ρ the conjugacy class of type ρ. The corresponding class function K^{C_ρ} will simply be denoted by K^ρ.

For $\lambda = (1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \ldots)$, we define $z_\lambda = \prod_{i \geq 1} i^{m_i} m_i!$, which is the order of the centralizer of an element of cycle-type λ in $S_{|\lambda|}$. The order of the centralizer of an element $x = (g, \sigma) \in \Gamma_n$ of the type $\rho = (\rho(c))_{c \in \Gamma_*}$ is $Z_\rho = \prod_{c \in \Gamma_*} z_{\rho(c)} \zeta_c^{l(\rho(c))}$.

2.3. **The Fock space $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$.** Recall that $R(\Gamma_n) = R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. We set

$$\mathcal{R}_\Gamma = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} R(\Gamma_n).$$

A symmetric bilinear form on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ is given by

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} \langle u_n, v_n \rangle_{\Gamma_n}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $u = \sum_n u_n$ and $v = \sum_n v_n$ with $u_n, v_n \in \Gamma_n$.

Denote by $c_n (c \in \Gamma_*)$ the conjugacy class in Γ_n of elements $(x, s) \in \Gamma_n$ such that s is an n-cycle and the cycle product of x lies in the conjugacy class c. Given $\gamma \in R(\Gamma)$, we denote by $\sigma_n(\gamma)$ the class function on Γ_n which takes value $n\gamma(c)$ on elements in the class $c_n, c \in \Gamma_*$, and 0 elsewhere.

We define an operator $\mathfrak{p}_{-n}(\gamma)$, where $\gamma \in R(\Gamma), n > 0$, to be a map from $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ to itself by the following composition

$$R(\Gamma_m) \overset{\sigma_n(\gamma)\otimes}{\longrightarrow} R(\Gamma_n) \bigotimes R(\Gamma_m) \overset{Ind}{\longrightarrow} R(\Gamma_{n+m}).$$

We also define an operator $\mathfrak{p}_n(\gamma), n > 0$ to be a map from $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ to itself (which is actually the adjoint of $\mathfrak{p}_{-n}(\gamma)$ with respect to the bilinear form (2.5)) as the composition

$$R(\Gamma_m) \overset{Res}{\longrightarrow} R(\Gamma_n) \bigotimes R(\Gamma_{m-n}) \overset{\langle \sigma_n(\gamma), \cdot \rangle}{\longrightarrow} R(\Gamma_{m-n}).$$

We also set $\mathfrak{p}_0(\gamma) = 0$. The following theorem was established in [21] in a more general setup (also see [6]).

Theorem 2.1. *The operators $\mathfrak{p}_n(\gamma)$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma \in R(\Gamma)$, satisfy the Heisenberg algebra commutation relation:*

$$[\mathfrak{p}_m(\beta), \mathfrak{p}_n(\gamma)] = m\delta_{m,-n}\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle.$$

Furthermore, the space $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ affords an irreducible highest weight representation of the Heisenberg algebra with the vacuum vector $|0\rangle = 1 \in \mathbb{C} = R(\Gamma_0)$.

In particular, given $\gamma \in R(\Gamma)$ and $y \in R(\Gamma_{n-1})$, we have by definition that

$$\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(\gamma)(y) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_{n-1} \times \Gamma|} \sum_{g \in \Gamma_n} \operatorname{ad} g(y \otimes \gamma) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{g \in S_n} \operatorname{ad} g(y \otimes \gamma) \tag{2.6}$$

where we have used $|\Gamma_{n-1} \times \Gamma| = |\Gamma|^n (n-1)!$ and identified S_n as a subgroup of Γ_n, and the second equality follows from the fact that y is $\operatorname{ad} \Gamma^{n-1}$-invariant and γ is $\operatorname{ad} \Gamma$-invariant.

3. The Jucys-Murphy elements and convolution operators

3.1. **The Jucys-Murphy elements for wreath products.**

Given $c \in \Gamma_*$, we denote by $\kappa^c_{[21^{n-2}]} \in \mathcal{P}_n(\Gamma_*)$ the function which maps c to the one-part partition (2), the identity conjugacy class to the partition (1^{n-2}) and other conjugacy classes to the empty partition. We denote by $K^c_{[21^{n-2}]}$ the conjugacy class in Γ_n associated to $\kappa^c_{[21^{n-2}]}$. By abuse of notation, we also denote by $K^c_{[21^{n-2}]} \in R(\Gamma_n)$ the characteristic function of the conjugacy class $K^c_{[21^{n-2}]}$. In particular, when c is the identity conjugacy class 1, we will simply write $\kappa^1_{[21^{n-2}]}$ and $K^1_{[21^{n-2}]}$ as $\kappa_{[21^{n-2}]}$ and $K_{[21^{n-2}]}$ respectively. The conjugacy class $K_{[21^{n-2}]}$ can be decomposed into a disjoint union:

$$K_{[21^{n-2}]} = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n} K_{[21^{n-2}]}(j), \qquad K_{[21^{n-2}]}(j) = \bigsqcup_{1 \le i < j} K_{[21^{n-2}]}(i,j)$$

where $K_{[21^{n-2}]}(i,j)$ consists of elements $((g_1, \ldots, g_n), (i,j)) \in \Gamma_n$, where all g_k except g_i and g_j are equal to $1 \in \Gamma$, and $g_j = g_i^{-1}$ runs over Γ. It follows that the cardinality of $K_{[21^{n-2}]}(j)$ is $(j-1) \cdot |\Gamma|$ and that $K_{[21^{n-2}]}(1)$ is the empty set.

Definition 3.1. The elements $\xi_j = \xi_{j;n} = \sum_{a \in K_{[21^{n-2}]}(j)} a$ $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ in the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma_n]$ are called the Jucys-Murphy (JM) elements for Γ_n. Note that $\xi_1 = 0$.

When Γ is trivial, (ξ_j) are exactly the JM elements of the symmetric group S_n introduced in [12, 19]. Our results below indicate that the (ξ_j) in Defintion 3.1 share analogous properties as the usual Jucys-Murphy elements.

Given a representation V of Γ with character $\gamma \in R(\Gamma)$, the n-th outer tensor product $V^{\otimes n}$ of V is a representation of the wreath product Γ_n whose character will be denoted by $\eta_n(\gamma)$: the direct product Γ^n acts on $\gamma^{\otimes n}$ factor by factor while S_n by permuting the n factors. Denote by ε_n the (1-dimensional) alternating representation of Γ_n on which Γ^n acts trivially while S_n acts as the alternating representation. We denote by $\varepsilon_n(\gamma) \in R(\Gamma_n)$ the character of the tensor product of ε_n and $V^{\otimes n}$. We extend η_n to a map from $R(\Gamma)$ to $R(\Gamma_n)$ by the following formula (cf. [21]):

$$\eta_n(\beta - \gamma) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^m Ind_{\Gamma_{n-m} \times \Gamma_m}^{\Gamma_n} [\eta_{n-m}(\beta) \otimes \varepsilon_m(\gamma)],$$

where β and γ are characters of two Γ-modules. For $\gamma \in R(\Gamma)$, the class function $\eta_n(\gamma) \in R(\Gamma_n)$ takes value $\prod_{c \in \Gamma_*} \gamma(c)^{l(\rho(c))}$ at an element of Γ_n of type $\rho = (\rho(c))_{c \in \Gamma_*}$, cf. [6, 17].

By construction, Γ_n contains $\Gamma^{(i)}$ as a subgroup, where $\Gamma^{(i)}$ is the i-th factor group of Γ^n. Given $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$, we denote by $\gamma^{(i)}$ the corresponding element in $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma^{(i)}]$, which in turn lies in $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma_n]$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\gamma \in R(\Gamma)$. The $2n$ elements $\xi_j, \gamma^{(i)}, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, commute with each other under the convolution product. Furthermore, We have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_n(\gamma) &= (\gamma^{(1)} + \xi_1)(\gamma^{(2)} + \xi_2) \cdots (\gamma^{(n)} + \xi_n), \\ \varepsilon_n(\gamma) &= (\gamma^{(1)} - \xi_1)(\gamma^{(2)} - \xi_2) \cdots (\gamma^{(n)} - \xi_n). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Clearly, $\gamma^{(k)}$ commutes with $\gamma^{(j)}$ for $1 \leq j, k \leq n$. Note that $\xi_j = \sum_{i<j} \xi_j(i)$ where we have denoted $\xi_j(i) = \sum_{a \in K_n(i,j)} a$. To check that $\gamma^{(k)}$ commutes with $\xi_j(i)$ for each i and thus commutes with ξ_j, it suffices to check for $\gamma = K^c$ with $c \in \Gamma_*$, which follows from a direct computation.

Next, we shall show that ξ_j and ξ_ℓ (say $j < \ell$) commute with each other. If $k \neq i$ and $k \neq j$, then apparently $\xi_j(i)$ commutes with $\xi_\ell(k)$. Thus, it suffices to prove that $\xi_j(i)(\xi_\ell(i) + \xi_\ell(j)) = (\xi_\ell(i) + \xi_\ell(j))\xi_j(i)$. This effectively reduces to the case when $n = 3, i = 1, j = 2, \ell = 3$, and $k = 1$ or $k = 2$, which can be verified by a simple calculation of multiplications in $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma_3]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_2(1)\left(\xi_3(1)+\xi_3(2)\right) &= \sum_{a,b,c\in\Gamma,abc=1}[((a,b,c),(1,3,2))+((a,b,c),(1,2,3))]\\ \left(\xi_3(1)+\xi_3(2)\right)\xi_2(1) &= \sum_{a,b,c\in\Gamma,abc=1}[((a,b,c),(1,2,3))+((a,b,c),(1,3,2))].\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof that $\xi_j\xi_\ell = \xi_\ell\xi_j$.

It remains to prove the identity (3.1) since the proof of the second identity is the same. We proceed by induction on n. When $n=1$, it is clearly true. Assume that (3.1) is true for $n-1$, that is,

$$(\gamma^{(1)}+\xi_1)(\gamma^{(2)}+\xi_2)\cdots(\gamma^{(n-1)}+\xi_{n-1}) = \sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\Gamma_*)}\prod_{c\in\Gamma_*}\gamma(c)^{l(\rho(c))}K^\rho.$$

It follows from this and the group multiplication (2.4) in Γ_n that

$$(\gamma^{(1)}+\xi_1)(\gamma^{(2)}+\xi_2)\cdots(\gamma^{(n-1)}+\xi_{n-1})\gamma^{(n)} = \sum_{\rho}\prod_{c\in\Gamma_*}\gamma(c)^{l(\rho(c))}K^\rho,$$

where ρ runs over the subset of $\mathcal{P}_n(\Gamma_*)$ which consists of elements obtained from $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\Gamma_*)$ by all possible ways of adding a disjoint one-cycle.

On the other hand, we observe that, for $\rho\in\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\Gamma_*)$, the product $K^\rho\circ\xi_n$ is the sum of characteristic class function $K^{\rho'}$, where ρ' runs over elements in $\mathcal{P}_n(\Gamma_*)$ obtained from ρ by all possible ways of changing a part equal to i to a part equal to $(i+1)$.

Combining the above observations together, we have established (3.1). □

Corollary 3.3. *Given $c\in\Gamma_*$, we have*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\xi_j+K^{c(j)}\right) = \sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{P}_n(c)}K^\rho,$$

where $\mathcal{P}_n(c)$ denotes the set of all the partition-valued functions $\rho\in\mathcal{P}_n(\Gamma_*)$ such that $\rho(c')=\emptyset$ for any $c'\neq c$. Also, we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\xi_j+\sum_{g\in\Gamma^{(j)}}g\right) = \sum_{a\in\Gamma_n}a. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. The first part is a special case of Theorem 3.2 for $\gamma=K^c$.

Set $\gamma=\sum_{g\in\Gamma}g$ to be the trivial character of Γ in Theorem 3.2. Observe that $\eta_n(\gamma)$ is the trivial character of Γ_n, and thus is equal to $\sum_{a\in\Gamma_n}a$. Therefore the identity (3.2) follows from Theorem 3.2.

□

Remark 3.4. A set of coset representatives for Γ_n/Γ_{n-1} consists of the elements $g\in\Gamma^{(n)}$ and $a\in K_{[21^{n-2}]}(n)$ which appear in the last factor of the product in (3.2). The identity (3.2) follows also from this fact by induction.

3.2. **Frobenius algebra structure on $R(\Gamma)$.** The characteristic function of the identity in the group Γ is the identity of the class algebra $R(\Gamma)$, and clearly,

$$1 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^*} \gamma / h_\gamma.$$

We define a trace map $\mathrm{Tr} : R(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{C}$ by letting $\mathrm{Tr}(K^c) = \delta_{c,1}/|\Gamma|, c \in \Gamma_*$, and then extending linearly to $R(\Gamma)$. It follows that $\mathrm{Tr}(\gamma) = h_\gamma^{-1}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$. This induces a bilinear form on $R(\Gamma)$ by $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha \circ \beta)$. One has that $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma^*$, so this bilinear form coincides with the standard one on $R(\Gamma)$. In other word, we have endowed a *Frobenius algebra* structure on $R(\Gamma)$.

We define a pushforward map $\tau_{2*} : R(\Gamma) \to R(\Gamma) \otimes R(\Gamma)$ to be the linear map adjoint to the convolution product with respect to the bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle$. We further define $\tau_{k*} : R(\Gamma) \to R(\Gamma)^{\otimes k}$ inductively by the composition

$$\tau_{(k+1)*} = (\tau_{2*} \otimes \mathrm{Id}^{\otimes (k-1)}) \cdot \tau_{k*}.$$

Lemma 3.5. *The pushforward map $\tau_{2*} : R(\Gamma) \to R(\Gamma) \otimes R(\Gamma)$ is characterized by:*

$$\tau_{2*}(\gamma) = h_\gamma \gamma \otimes \gamma, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma^*.$$

Proof. Since τ_{2*} is a linear map, it is characterized by its values over a linear basis of $R(\Gamma)$. For $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma^*$, we have by definition that

$$\langle \tau_{2*}(\gamma), \alpha \otimes \beta \rangle_{\Gamma \times \Gamma} = \langle \gamma, \alpha \circ \beta \rangle = \langle \gamma, h_\beta \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \beta \rangle = h_\beta \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{\gamma,\beta}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\langle h_\gamma \gamma \otimes \gamma, \alpha \otimes \beta \rangle_{\Gamma \times \Gamma} = h_\gamma \delta_{\gamma,\alpha} \delta_{\gamma,\beta}.$$

The lemma follows from the comparison of these two identities. □

Remark 3.6. Since $\tau_{2*}(1) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^*} \gamma \otimes \gamma$, we find that the composition map of convolution product and the pushforward τ_{2*}

$$R(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\tau_{2*}} R(\Gamma) \times R(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\circ} R(\Gamma)$$

sends $1 \in R(\Gamma)$ to $\chi := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^*} h_\gamma \gamma$, whose trace is given by $\mathrm{Tr}(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^*} h_\gamma \gamma) = |\Gamma^*|$. In analog with geometry, the class function $\chi = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^*} h_\gamma \gamma$ and the number $|\Gamma^*|$ should be regarded as the "Euler class" and respectively the "Euler number" of Γ.

3.3. **Definition of convolution operators.**

Lemma 3.7. *For a given $\alpha \in R(\Gamma)$, any symmetric function in the n elements $\xi_1 + \alpha^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi_n + \alpha^{(n)}$ lies in $R(\Gamma_n)$.*

Proof. We denoted by $E_i(\gamma)$ the i-th elementary symmetric functions ($i = 1, \ldots, n$) in $\gamma^{(1)} + \xi_1, \ldots, \gamma^{(n)} + \xi_n$. For $c \in \Gamma_*$, $E_i(K^c)$ is the sum of all elements in Γ_n which satisfy the following property: it has $n - k$ cycles, $(i - k)$ of which is of cycle type c while $(n - i)$ of which is of cycle type 1, where k runs over $0, 1, \ldots, i$. This can be seen by directly multiplying them out term by term. (By the way, this generalizes the following well-known fact about JM elements in S_n: the i-th elementary symmetric function of JM elements is the sum of all permutations having exactly $n-i$ cycles.) In particular, $E_i(K^c)$ is a class function of Γ_n. We can see similarly that $E_i(\gamma)$, where $\gamma \in R(\Gamma)$, is a class function of Γ_n. Any symmetric function in $\gamma^{(1)} + \xi_1, \ldots, \gamma^{(n)} + \xi_n$ can be written as a polynomial in the $E_i(\gamma)$'s, and thus is a class function of Γ_n. $\square$

Corollary 3.8. *For $\alpha \in R(\Gamma)$, the sum $\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^k \circ \alpha^{(i)}$ lies in $R(\Gamma_n)$.*

Proof. The above lemma implies that $\sum_{i=1}^n e^{(\gamma^{(i)}+\xi_i)} = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\xi_i} \circ (e^\gamma)^{(i)}$ is a class function for each $\gamma \in R(\Gamma)$. As e^γ span $R(\Gamma)$ when γ ranges over $R(\Gamma)$, the corollary follows. $\square$

Definition 3.9. We define Ξ_n^k to be the k-th power sum $\xi_1^k + \ldots + \xi_n^k$ of the JM elements in Γ_n. For $\alpha \in R(\Gamma)$, we define the class function $\Xi_n^k(\alpha) \in R(\Gamma_n)$ to be

$$\Xi_n^k(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^k \circ \alpha^{(i)}.$$

We define $\Xi_n(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\xi_i} \circ \alpha^{(i)}$, and $\Xi_{n,\hbar}(\alpha) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \hbar^k/k! \cdot \Xi_n^k(\alpha)$, where $\hbar$ is a formal parameter. We further define the operator $\mathfrak{O}^k(\alpha) \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{R}_\Gamma)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{O}(\alpha)$, or $\mathfrak{O}_\hbar(\alpha)$) to be the convolution product with $\Xi_n^k(\alpha)$ (resp. $\Xi_n(\alpha)$, or $\Xi_{n,\hbar}(\alpha)$) in $R(\Gamma_n)$ for every $n \geq 0$.

We observe that the class function $\Xi_n^1(K^c)$ coincides with the characteristic function $K^c_{[21^{n-2}]}$ of the conjugacy class in Γ_n of type $\kappa^c_{[21^{n-2}]}$. The operator $\mathfrak{O}^1(K^c)$ was introduced in [8] and it will continue to play a distinguished role in this paper. We shall denote $\mathfrak{O}^1(\alpha)$ by $\mathfrak{d}(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in R(\Gamma)$, and set $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}(1)$.

3.4. A new formulation of results in [8].

We define $:\mathfrak{p}_m(\alpha_1)\mathfrak{p}_n(\alpha_2):$ to be $\mathfrak{p}_m(\alpha_1)\mathfrak{p}_n(\alpha_2)$ if $m \leq n$ and $\mathfrak{p}_n(\alpha_2)\mathfrak{p}_m(\alpha_1)$ if $m > n$. We define

$$:\mathfrak{p}(\alpha_1)\mathfrak{p}(\alpha_2)(z) := \sum_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}} :\mathfrak{p}_m(\alpha_1)\mathfrak{p}_n(\alpha_2): z^{-m-n-2},$$

and similarly define inductively $:\mathfrak{p}(\alpha_1)\ldots\mathfrak{p}(\alpha_k)(z):$ $(k \geq 2)$ from the right to the left as usually done in the theory of vertex algebras (cf. e.g. [13]).

If we write $\tau_{k*}(\alpha) = \sum_i \alpha_{i,1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{i,k}$, then we define the following vertex operator

$$:\mathfrak{p}(z)^k:(\tau_{k*}\alpha) = \sum_i :\mathfrak{p}(\alpha_{i,1})(z)\ldots\mathfrak{p}(\alpha_{i,k})(z):,$$

and its components by $:\mathfrak{p}(z)^k:(\tau_{k*}\alpha) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} :\mathfrak{p}^k:_n(\tau_{k*}\alpha)z^{-n-k}$. In other words, the operator $:\mathfrak{p}^k:_n(\tau_{k*}\alpha)$ lies in $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{R}_\Gamma)$.

Definition 3.10. We define $L(\beta)(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L_n(\beta)z^{-n-2} = \frac{1}{2}:\mathfrak{p}(z)^2:(\tau_{2*}\beta)$ for $\beta \in R(\Gamma)$.

We say that the commutator of two families of operators $A(\alpha)$ and $B(\beta)$ (where $\alpha, \beta \in R(\Gamma)$) satisfies the *transfer property* if $[A(\alpha), B(\beta)] = [A(\alpha\beta), B(1)] = [A(1), B(\alpha\beta)]$.

Theorem 3.11. *The commutator between* $\mathfrak{b}(\beta)$ *and the Heisenberg algebra generator* $\mathfrak{p}_n(\gamma)$ *is given by*

$$[\mathfrak{b}(\beta), \mathfrak{p}_n(\gamma)] = -nL_n(\beta\gamma), \quad \beta, \gamma \in R(\Gamma),$$

where the operators L_n *acting on the space* $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ *satisfy the Virasoro commutation relations:*

$$[L_n(\beta), L_m(\gamma)] = (n-m)L_{n+m}(\beta\gamma) + \frac{n^3-n}{12}\delta_{n,-m}\mathrm{Tr}(\chi\beta\gamma) \cdot Id_{\mathcal{R}_\Gamma}.$$

In particular, the transfer property holds for both commutators.

Proof. To verify the Virasoro relation, it suffices to do so for $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma^*$, since all the terms involved depends on β and γ linearly. Since $[\mathfrak{p}_m(\beta), \mathfrak{p}_n(\gamma)] = m\delta_{m,-n}\delta_{\beta,\gamma}$, the components L_n^β of $L^\beta(z) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} : \mathfrak{p}(\beta)(z)^2 :$ for $\beta \in \Gamma^*$ (as operators acting on the space $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$) satisfy the commutation relation:

$$[L_n^\beta, L_m^\gamma] = \delta_{\beta,\gamma}(n-m)L_{n+m}^\gamma + \frac{n^3-n}{12}\delta_{\beta,\gamma}\delta_{n,-m}.$$

Since $\tau_{2*}\gamma = h_\gamma \gamma \otimes \gamma$, we have by Definition 3.10 and Lemma 3.5 that $L_n(\gamma) = h_\gamma L_n^\gamma$. We also calculate

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\chi\beta\gamma) = \mathrm{Tr}(\delta_{\beta,\gamma}h_\gamma^3\gamma) = \delta_{\beta,\gamma}h_\gamma^2.$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_n(\beta), L_m(\gamma)] &= \delta_{\beta,\gamma}h_\beta^2\, [L_n^\beta, L_m^\gamma] \\
&= \delta_{\beta,\gamma}h_\beta^2(n-m) \cdot L_{n+m}^\beta + \frac{n^3-n}{12}\, h_\beta^2\delta_{\beta,\gamma}\delta_{n,-m} \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{R}_\Gamma} \\
&= (n-m)L_{n+m}(\beta\gamma) + \frac{n^3-n}{12}\delta_{n,-m}\mathrm{Tr}(\chi\beta\gamma) \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{R}_\Gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove the first commutation relation in the theorem, it suffices to do so for $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$ and $\beta = K^c$ for $c \in \Gamma_*$, since both sides of the commutation relation depends linearly on β and γ. This can be checked by using Theorem 4 in [8] as follows. Note that Δ_c and $\tilde{a}_n(\gamma)$ therein are $\mathfrak{b}(K^c)$ and $\mathfrak{p}_n(\gamma)$ respectively in our current notation.

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathfrak{b}(K^c), \mathfrak{p}_n(\gamma)] &= -n\zeta_c^{-1}h_\gamma^2\gamma(c^{-1})\, L_n^\gamma \\
&= -n\zeta_c^{-1}h_\gamma\gamma(c^{-1})\, L_n(\gamma) = -n\, L_n(K^c\gamma),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$K^c\gamma = \sum_{\beta\in\Gamma^*} \zeta_c^{-1}\beta(c^{-1})\, \beta\gamma = \zeta_c^{-1}h_\gamma\gamma(c^{-1})\,\gamma.$$

□

Remark 3.12. The operators $L_n(1)$ generate the standard Virasoro algebra:

$$[L_n(1), L_m(1)] = (n-m)L_{n+m}(1) + \frac{n^3-n}{12}\delta_{n,-m}|\Gamma^*| \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{R}_\Gamma}.$$

Note that the central charge for the Virasoro algebra is the "Euler number" $|\Gamma^*|$, which is the same as the rank of the lattice $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma)$.

Theorem 3.13. *For $\beta \in R(\Gamma)$, the operator $\mathfrak{b}(\beta)$ is given by the zero-mode of a vertex operator:*

$$\mathfrak{b}(\beta) = \frac{1}{6} : \mathfrak{p}^3 :_0 (\tau_{3*}\beta).$$

In particular, for $\beta \in \Gamma^*$, we have $\mathfrak{b}(\beta) = \frac{1}{6}h_\beta^2 : \mathfrak{p}(\beta)(z)^3 :_0$.

Proof. By applying Lemma 3.5 twice, we obtain for $\beta \in \Gamma^*$ that

$$\tau_{3*}\beta = (\tau_{2*} \otimes \mathrm{Id})(h_\beta \beta \otimes \beta) = h_\beta^2\, \beta \otimes \beta \otimes \beta.$$

It follows that the two identities to be proved are equivalent thanks to the linearity on β.

Since the operators $\mathfrak{b}(\beta)$ and $h_\beta^2/6 : \mathfrak{p}(\beta)(z)^3 :_0$ annihilate the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$, it suffices to see that they have the same commutators with $\mathfrak{b}_n(\gamma), \gamma \in \Gamma^*$.

We calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[h_\beta^2/6 : \mathfrak{p}(\beta)(z)^3 :_0, \mathfrak{p}_n(\gamma)\right] \\ = {}& h_\beta^2 \left[1/2 \cdot \sum_{k>0,m>0} (\mathfrak{p}_{-k}(\beta)\mathfrak{p}_{-m}(\beta)\mathfrak{p}_{k+m}(\beta) + \mathfrak{p}_{-k-m}(\beta)\mathfrak{p}_k(\beta)\mathfrak{p}_m(\beta)), \mathfrak{p}_n(\gamma)\right] \\ = {}& h_\beta^2 \cdot (-n) \cdot \delta_{\beta,\gamma} L_n^\beta = -n h_\beta \delta_{\beta,\gamma}\, L_n(\beta) = -n\, L_n(\beta\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

This coincides with the commutator $[\mathfrak{b}(\beta), \mathfrak{p}(\gamma)]$ as given in Theorem 3.11. ☐

Remark 3.14. Theorems 3.11 and 3.13 are reformulations of Theorems 4 and 3 in [8]. In the symmetric group case, i.e. Γ is trivial, the operator $\mathfrak{b}$ was first considered by Goulden [9] for a different purpose and he established Theorem 3.13 (in a different form) in the setup of ring of symmetric functions. This operator was rediscovered in [8] in the general wreath product setup for the group theoretic construction of the Virasoro algebra. In our new formulation above, we have made the transfer property of these commutators transparent in a way parallel to the developments in the Hilbert schemes and symmetric products (cf. [20] and the references therein).

3.5. **The general convolution operators and Heisenberg algebra.**

Given $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{R}_\Gamma)$, we denote $\mathfrak{f}' = [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{f}] = \mathrm{ad}\,\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{f})$ and define inductively $\mathfrak{f}^{(k)} = \mathrm{ad}\,\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{f}^{(k-1)})$.

Theorem 3.15. *Let $\gamma, \alpha \in R(\Gamma)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathfrak{O}_\hbar(\gamma), \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(\alpha)] &= \exp(\hbar \cdot \mathrm{ad}\,\mathfrak{b})(\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(\gamma\alpha)) \\ [\mathfrak{O}^k(\gamma), \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(\alpha)] &= \mathfrak{p}_{-1}^{(k)}(\gamma\alpha), \quad k \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The two identities are equivalent, and we will prove the second identity.

Recall that $\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(\alpha)(y) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!}\sum_{g\in S_n} \mathrm{ad}g\,(y\otimes\alpha)$, for $y \in R(\Gamma_{n-1})$. Regarding Γ_{n-1} as a subgroup of Γ_n, we have an injective algebra homomorphism $\iota : \mathbb{C}[\Gamma_{n-1}] \to \mathbb{C}[\Gamma_n]$ given by the natural inclusion. Thus, using (2.6) we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (n-1)!\,[\mathfrak{O}^k(\gamma), \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(\alpha)](y) \\
= \;& (n-1)!\,[\mathfrak{O}^k(\gamma)\cdot\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(\alpha)(y) - \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(\alpha)\cdot\mathfrak{O}^k(\gamma)(y)] \\
= \;& \Xi^k_n(\gamma)\circ\sum_{g\in S_n}\mathrm{ad}g\,(y\otimes\alpha) - \sum_{g\in S_n}\mathrm{ad}g\,((\Xi^k_{n-1}(\gamma)\circ y)\otimes\alpha) \\
= \;& \sum_{g\in S_n}\mathrm{ad}g\,[(\Xi^k_n(\gamma) - \iota(\Xi^k_{n-1}(\gamma)))\circ(y\otimes\alpha)]
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\Xi^k_n(\gamma)$ is S_n-invariant. We have $\Xi^k_n(\gamma) - \iota(\Xi^k_{n-1}(\gamma)) = \xi^k_{n;n}\circ\gamma^{(n)}$, by definition. Thus, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
(n-1)!\,[\mathfrak{O}^k(\gamma), \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(\alpha)](y) &= \sum_g \mathrm{ad}g\,[\xi^k_{n;n}\circ\gamma^{(n)}\circ(y\otimes\alpha)] \\
&= \sum_g \mathrm{ad}g\,[\xi^k_{n;n}\circ(y\otimes\gamma\alpha)].
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove that

$$\sum_{g\in S_n}\mathrm{ad}g\,[\xi^k_{n;n}\circ(y\otimes\gamma\alpha)] = (n-1)!\,\mathfrak{p}^{(k)}_{-1}(\gamma\alpha)(y). \tag{3.3}$$

We will proceed by induction. It is trivial for $k = 0$. Note that $\Xi^1_n - \iota(\Xi^1_{n-1}) = \xi_{n;n}$. Under the assumption that the formula (3.3) is true for k, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{g\in S_n}\mathrm{ad}g\,[\xi^{(k+1)}_{n;n}\circ(y\otimes\gamma\alpha)] \\
= \;& \sum_g \mathrm{ad}g\,[(\Xi^1_n - \iota(\Xi^1_{n-1}))\circ(\xi_{n;n})^k\circ(y\otimes\gamma\alpha)] \\
= \;& \Xi^1_n\circ\sum_{g\in S_n}\mathrm{ad}g\,[\xi^k_{n;n}\circ(y\otimes\gamma\alpha)] - \sum_{g\in S_n}\mathrm{ad}g\,[\iota(\Xi^1_{n-1})\circ\xi^k_{n;n}\circ(y\otimes\gamma\alpha)],
\end{aligned}$$

since $\Xi^1_n(\gamma)$ is S_n-invariant. By using the induction assumption twice, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{g\in S_n}\mathrm{ad}g\,[\xi^{(k+1)}_{n;n}\circ(y\otimes\gamma\alpha)] \\
= \;& (n-1)!\,\Xi^1_n\circ\mathfrak{p}^{(k)}_{-1}(\gamma\alpha)(y) - \sum_{g\in S_n}\mathrm{ad}g\,[\xi^k_{n;n}\circ((\Xi^1_{n-1}\circ y)\otimes\gamma\alpha)] \\
= \;& (n-1)!\,[\mathfrak{b}\cdot\mathfrak{p}^{(k)}_{-1}(\gamma\alpha)(y) - \mathfrak{p}^{(k)}_{-1}(\gamma\alpha)(\Xi^1_{n-1}\circ y)] \\
= \;& (n-1)!\,\mathfrak{p}^{(k+1)}_{-1}(\gamma\alpha)(y).
\end{aligned}$$

So by induction, we have established (3.3) and thus the theorem. ☐

4. THE $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ ALGEBRA AND THE FOCK SPACE $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$

4.1. **The $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ algebra parametrized by Γ^*.** Let A be a finite-dimensional complex vector space endowed with a commutative algebra structure with identity. We further assume that there exists a linear operator $\mathrm{Tr} : A \to \mathbb{C}$.

Let t be an indeterminate and let $\partial_t = \frac{d}{dt}$. We denote by $\mathcal{D}_{as}$ the associative algebra of regular differential operators on a circle. Denote by $\mathcal{D}(A)_{as}$ the associative algebra $\mathcal{D}_{as} \otimes A$. If $a_1, \ldots, a_N$ is a linear basis for A, then $t^{\ell+k}(\partial_t)^\ell \otimes a_i$, where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \le i \le N$, form a linear basis for $\mathcal{D}(A)_{as}$.

Let $\mathcal{D}(A)$ denote the Lie algebra obtained from $\mathcal{D}(A)_{as}$ by taking the usual Lie bracket:

$$[X \otimes \alpha, Y \otimes \beta] = (XY - YX) \otimes (\alpha\beta).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}(A)$ the central extension of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ by a one-dimensional vector space with a specified generator C:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}C \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(A) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The commutation relation in $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}(A)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & [t^r f(D) \otimes \alpha, t^s g(D) \otimes \beta] \\ = \ & t^{r+s} \left(f(D+s) g(D) - f(D) g(D+r) \right) \otimes (\alpha\beta) \\ + \ & \Psi\left(t^r f(D), t^s g(D) \right) C, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\Psi : \mathcal{D}(A) \times \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathbb{C}$ is the 2-cocycle given by:

$$\Psi\left(t^r f(D) \otimes \alpha, t^s g(D) \otimes \beta \right) = \begin{cases} h_\beta^2 \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha\beta) \displaystyle\sum_{-r \le j \le -1} f(j) g(j+r), & r = -s \ge 0 \\ 0, & r + s \ne 0. \end{cases}$$

In the case when $A = R(\Gamma)$ which we are concerned, we shall write $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}(R(\Gamma))$ as $\mathcal{W}^\Gamma_{1+\infty}$. When Γ is trivial and $A = \mathbb{C}$, we will simply write $\mathcal{W}^\Gamma_{1+\infty}$ as $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$, which is the usual $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ algebra, cf. [5, 13]. The algebra $\mathcal{W}^\Gamma_{1+\infty}$ has a linear basis given by

$$J^l_k(\alpha) = -t^{l+k}(\partial_t)^l \otimes h_\alpha^{-1}\alpha, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \in \Gamma^*.$$

Set $D = t\partial_t$. A different basis of $\mathcal{W}^\Gamma_{1+\infty}$ is given by

$$L^l_k(\alpha) = -t^k D^l \otimes h_\alpha^{-1}\alpha, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \in \Gamma^*.$$

Note that $f(D)t = tf(D+1)$ for $f(w) \in \mathbb{C}[w]$ and hence $J^l_k(\alpha) = -t^k[D]_l \otimes h_\alpha^{-1}\alpha$, where we have used the notation $[x]_l = x(x-1)\ldots(x-l+1)$. We then extend $J^l_k(\alpha)$ and $L^l_k(\alpha)$ by linearity to all $\alpha \in R(\Gamma)$. We further introduce the vertex operator

$$J^l(\alpha)(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} J^l_k(\alpha) z^{-k-l-1}.$$

In particular, the components of $J^0(z)$ generates a Heisenberg algebra while the components of $J^1(z)$ generates a Virasoro algebra. The algebra $\mathcal{W}^{\Gamma}_{1+\infty}$ has a weight gradation given by $wt(J^l_k(\alpha)) = -k$. The Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}^{\Gamma}_{1+\infty}$ has a linear basis given by the center C and all polynomials in D.

Remark 4.1. We have introduced $J^{\ell}_k(\alpha)$ and the 2-cocycle Ψ by taking into account the fact that $h_\alpha^{-1}\gamma$, where $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$, are orthogonal idempotents. For Γ trivial, we simply ignore all the parameters lying in $R(\Gamma)$ (or equivalently set them to be 1).

4.2. **Free field realization.** Take a pair of free fermionic fields

$$\psi^+(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} \psi^+_n z^{-n-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \psi^-(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} \psi^-_n z^{-n-\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $\psi^{\pm}_n$ satisfied the following anti-commutation relations:

$$[\psi^+_m, \psi^-_n]_+ = \delta_{m,-n}$$

and the other commutators being zero. We denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the fermionic Fock space generated by the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$ from the creation operators $\psi^{\pm}_n, n < 0$. We have the (charge) decomposition $\mathcal{F} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}^{(k)}$, where $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}$ is spanned by the monomial $\psi^+_{m_1}\psi^+_{m_2}\cdots\psi^+_{m_p}\psi^-_{n_1}\psi^-_{n_2}\cdots\psi^-_{n_q}\cdot|0\rangle$, where $p-q=k$.

It is known [18, 5] that one can realize $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ as

$$J^l(z) =: \partial^l_z\psi^-(z)\psi^+(z) :, \quad l \geq 0.$$

In particular $J^0(z)$ is a Heisenberg vertex operator (i.e. a free boson). This is part of the classical boson-fermion correspondence.

It is a well-known fact that $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}$ is irreducible under the action of the Heisenberg algebra. As $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ contains the Heisenberg algebra generated by the field $J^0(z)$, it also acts on $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}$ for each k irreducibly. We will be only interested in $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$.

The boson-fermion correspondence further allows us to write $\psi^{\pm}(z)$ in terms of the free bosonic field $J^0(z)$ (cf. e.g. [13]):

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{\pm}(z) &= :\exp\left(\int \mp J^0(z)dz\right): S_{\pm} \\ &= \exp(\mp\sum_{k>0} J^0_{-k}z^k/k)\exp(\pm\sum_{k>0} J^0_k z^{-k}/k) z^{\mp J^0_0} S_{\pm}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $S_{\pm}$ is the shifted operator $\mathcal{F}^{(k)} \to \mathcal{F}^{(k\pm1)}$ which commutes with the action of the Heisenberg algebra.

Proposition 4.2. *On $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$, we can realize the fields $J^{l-1}(z)$ ($l \geq 1$) as normally ordered polynomials, denoted by $P_l(J^0)$ or $P_l(J^0(z))$, in terms of $J^0(z)$ and its derivative fields. More precisely, we have $J^{l-1}(z) = \frac{1}{l}P_l(J^0(z))$, where*

$$P_l(J^0(z)) = \frac{\partial^l : \exp(\int J^0(z)dz) :}{: \exp(\int J^0(z)dz) :}.$$

For example, we can write down explicitly for small l:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} P_1(J^0) & = & J^0 \\ P_2(J^0) & = & :(J^0)^2: + \partial J^0 \\ P_3(J^0) & = & :(J^0)^3: + 3J^0\partial J^0 + \partial^2 J^0. \end{array}$$

Proof. By the boson-fermion correspondence (4.2) and the identity

$$\left[-\sum_{k>0} J^0_k z^{-k}/k, \sum_{k>0} J^0_{-k} w^k/k \right] = -\sum_{k>0} \frac{1}{k}(w/z)^k = \ln(1 - w/z),$$

we have the following standard computation of the operator product expansion for $|z| > |w|$:

$$\begin{array}{cl} & \psi^-(z)\psi^+(w) \\ = & \dfrac{1}{z-w} \exp\left(\sum_{k>0} J^0_{-k}(z^k - w^k)/k \right) \exp\left(-\sum_{k>0} J^0_k (z^{-k} - w^{-k})/k \right) \\ = & \dfrac{1}{z-w} \sum_{l\geq 0} \dfrac{1}{l!}(z-w)^l \cdot \\ & \cdot \left[\partial_z^l \exp(\sum_{k>0} J^0_{-k}(z^k - w^k)/k) \exp(-\sum_{k>0} J^0_k (z^{-k} - w^{-k})/k) \right] |_{z=w} \\ = & \dfrac{1}{z-w} + \sum_{l\geq 1} \dfrac{1}{l!}(z-w)^{l-1} P_l(J^0(w)). \end{array}$$

We can calculate the operator product expansion $\psi^-(z)\psi^+(w)$ for $|z| > |w|$ in a different way:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \psi^-(z)\psi^+(w) & = & \dfrac{1}{z-w} + :\psi^-(z)\psi^+(w): \\ & = & \dfrac{1}{z-w} + \sum_{k\geq 0} \dfrac{1}{k!}(z-w)^k :\partial_w^k \psi^-(w)\psi^+(w): \\ & = & \dfrac{1}{z-w} + \sum_{k\geq 0} \dfrac{1}{k!}(z-w)^k J^k(w). \end{array}$$

The proposition follows by comparing the right-hand sides of the above two calculations. □

For each $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$, we introduce a pair of fermionic fields

$$\psi^\pm(\gamma)(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} \psi^\pm_n(\gamma) z^{-n-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\psi_n^{\pm}(\gamma)$ satisfies the following anti-commutation relations:

$$[\psi_m^+(\beta), \psi_n^-(\gamma)]_+ = \delta_{m,-n}\delta_{\beta,\gamma}, \quad \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma^*,$$

and the other commutators being zero. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma$ the corresponding fermionic Fock space associated to $\psi(\gamma), \gamma \in \Gamma^*$, and similarly we have the charge decomposition $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma = \oplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{F}_\Gamma^{(k)}$. Now, by Remark 4.1, we can realize $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}^\Gamma$ acting on $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma$ as

$$J^l(\gamma)(z) =: \partial_z^l \psi^-(\gamma)(z)\psi^+(\gamma)(z) :, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma^*.$$

Of course, Proposition 4.2 applies when we replace $J^l(z)$ by $J^l(\gamma)(z)$.

4.3. **Convolution operators and $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}^\Gamma$.** Note that the Heisenberg algebra generated by $J_n^0(\gamma)$ and also $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}^\Gamma$ acts irreducibly on $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma^{(0)}$. Since the components of $J^0(\gamma)(z)$ and those of $\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)(z)$ satisfy the same Heisenberg algebra commutation relation, we may identify $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ with $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma^{(0)}$ and let $J_n^0(\gamma)$ act on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ as $\mathfrak{p}_n(\gamma)$. The point here is how to realize the action of $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}^\Gamma$ on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ in a group-theoretic manner.

Proposition 4.3. *With the above identifications, the operator $\mathfrak{b}(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$ can be identified with $-h_\gamma \cdot D(D+1)/2 \otimes \gamma$ in $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}^\Gamma$.*

Proof. Recall that $J_0^0(\gamma) = \mathfrak{p}_0(\gamma)$ acts on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ as 0. From Theorem 3.13 and Proposition 4.2, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{b}(\gamma) &= \frac{1}{6}h_\gamma^2 : \mathfrak{p}(\gamma)^3 :_0 \\
&= h_\gamma^2 \cdot \big(P_3(J^0(\gamma))/6 - \partial P_2(J^0(\gamma))/4\big) \\
&= h_\gamma^2 \cdot (J_0^2(\gamma)/2 - \partial J_0^1(\gamma)/2) \\
&= h_\gamma^2 \cdot (-D(D-1)/2 - D) \otimes h_\gamma^{-1}\gamma \\
&= -h_\gamma \cdot D(D+1)/2 \otimes \gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

□

In particular, in the symmetric group case, we have $\mathfrak{b} = -D(D+1)/2$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $q = e^\hbar$. We can identify the operator $\mathfrak{O}_\hbar(\gamma)$, where $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$, on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ as the following weight-zero differential operator in $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}^\Gamma$:*

$$\mathfrak{O}_\hbar(\gamma) = \frac{q^{h_\gamma^2 D} - 1}{q^{-h_\gamma^2} - 1} \otimes h_\gamma^{-1}\gamma.$$

Proof. From (4.1) and Proposition 4.3, for $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$, we have

$$[\mathfrak{b}(\gamma), \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(\beta)] = [-h_\gamma D(D+1)/2 \otimes h_\gamma^{-1}\gamma, t^{-1} \otimes h_\beta^{-1}\beta] = t^{-1}(h_\gamma^2 D) \otimes h_\gamma^{-1}h_\beta^{-1}\gamma\beta.$$

Together with Theorem 3.15, this implies that (for $\beta \in \Gamma^*$)

$$[\mathfrak{O}_\hbar(\gamma), \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(\beta)] = q^{\operatorname{ad}\mathfrak{b}(\gamma)}\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(\beta) = q^{\operatorname{ad}\mathfrak{b}(\gamma)}(t^{-1} \otimes h_\beta^{-1}\beta) = t^{-1}q^{h_\gamma^2 D} \otimes (h_\gamma^{-1}h_\beta^{-1}\gamma\beta),$$

where we have used the fact that $h_\gamma^{-1}\gamma$ are orthogonal idempotents and

$$(h_\gamma^{-1}\gamma)^n(h_\beta^{-1}\beta) = h_\gamma^{-1}h_\beta^{-1}\gamma\beta, \quad n \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, a simple calculation using (4.1) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[(q^{-h_\gamma^2}-1)^{-1}(q^{h_\gamma^2 D}-1)\otimes h_\gamma^{-1}\gamma, \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(\beta)\right] \\ = \ & (q^{-h_\gamma^2}-1)^{-1}t^{-1}(q^{h_\gamma^2(D-1)}-q^{h_\gamma^2 D})\otimes(h_\gamma^{-1}h_\beta^{-1}\gamma\beta) = t^{-1}q^{h_\gamma^2 D}\otimes(h_\gamma^{-1}h_\beta^{-1}\gamma\beta).\end{aligned}$$

Note that both operators $\mathfrak{D}_\hbar(\gamma)$ and $(q^{-h_\gamma^2}-1)^{-1}(q^{h_\gamma^2 D}-1)\otimes h_\gamma^{-1}\gamma$ commutes with $\mathfrak{b}(\beta)$, and both operators annihilates the vacuum vector. It follows from the following simple Lemma 4.6 that these two operators coincides. ☐

Corollary 4.5. *In the case of symmetric groups (i.e. Γ is trivial), we identify the operator $\mathfrak{D}_\hbar$ as the degree-zero differential operator $\frac{q^D-1}{q^{-1}-1}$ in $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$.*

Lemma 4.6. *Given two operators $\mathfrak{f}_1, \mathfrak{f}_2 \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{R}_\Gamma)$ such that $\mathfrak{f}_1|0\rangle = \mathfrak{f}_2|0\rangle = 0$ and $[\mathfrak{f}_1, \mathfrak{b}] = [\mathfrak{f}_2, \mathfrak{b}] = 0$, and $[\mathfrak{f}_1, \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(\beta)] = [\mathfrak{f}_2, \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(\beta)]$ for all $\beta \in R(\Gamma)$. Then $\mathfrak{f}_1 = \mathfrak{f}_2$.*

Proof. Follows from the fact that we can obtain the whole $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ by repeatedly applying the operator $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(\gamma)$, $\gamma \in R(\Gamma)$. ☐

Theorem 4.7. *The commutators $[\mathfrak{D}^k(1), \mathfrak{p}_n(\alpha)]$, where $k \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\alpha \in \Gamma^*$, realize a level one irreducible representation of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}^\Gamma$ on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$.*

Proof. If we expand the formula for $\mathfrak{D}_\hbar(\gamma)$ in Proposition 4.4 as a Taylor series in $\hbar$, we see that $\mathfrak{D}^k(\gamma)$ is of degree $k+1$ in D. Identifying $\mathfrak{p}_n(\alpha)$ with $t^{-n}\otimes h_\alpha^{-1}\alpha$, we see that the commutators $[\mathfrak{D}^k(1), \mathfrak{p}_n(\alpha)]$ is t^{-n} times a polynomial in D of degree k and they form a linear basis for $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}^\Gamma$. It is clear that the representation is of level one. ☐

4.4. **Convolution operators and vertex operators.**

Lemma 4.8. *Let $q = e^\hbar$. We have:*

$$\begin{aligned} q^D &= 1 + \sum_{l\geq 1}\frac{1}{l!}(q-1)^l[D]_l = 1 + \sum_{l \geq 1}\frac{1}{l!}\hbar^l D^l, \\ \frac{q^D-1}{q^{-1}-1} &= -q\sum_{l\geq 1}\frac{1}{l!}(q-1)^{l-1}[D]_l. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Follows from the expansions of q^D and $(q^D-1)/(q^{-1}-1)$ in terms of powers of $(q-1), (q^{-1}-1)$, and $\hbar$ respectively.

☐

Let us introduce the following vertex operator associated to $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\gamma; z, q) &= (q-1)z\,\psi^-(\gamma)(qz)\psi^+(\gamma)(z) \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{k>0}\frac{(q^k-1)z^k}{k}\mathfrak{p}_{-k}(\gamma)\right)\exp\left(\sum_{k>0}\frac{(1-q^{-k})z^{-k}}{k}\mathfrak{p}_k(\gamma)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We write $V(\gamma; z, q) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} V_m(\gamma; q) z^{-m}$.

The identification of $\mathfrak{D}_\hbar(\gamma)$ as an differential operator is useful as illustrated in the proof of the following theorem which describes the connection between JM elements and vertex operator.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $q = e^\hbar$, and $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$. The operator $\mathfrak{D}_\hbar$ can be expressed as:*

$$\mathfrak{D}_\hbar(\gamma) = \frac{q}{(q-1)^2} \left(V_0(\gamma; q^{h_\gamma^2}) - 1 \right).$$

Proof. As usual, we identify $J_k^0(\gamma)$ with $\mathfrak{p}_k(\gamma)$. By taking the Taylor expansion of $V(\gamma; z, q)$ with respect to $(q-1)$ and using Proposition 4.2, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\gamma; z, q) &= 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k!} (q-1)^k P_k(J^0(\gamma)) z^k \\ &= 1 + \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{1}{l!} (q-1)^{l+1} J^l(\gamma)(z) z^{l+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Note that the operator $J_0^0(\gamma)$ acts as 0, and $J_0^l(\gamma) = -[D]_l \otimes h_\gamma^{-1}\gamma$. By (4.3) and Lemma 4.8 (where q is replaced by $q^{h_\gamma^2}$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q^{h_\gamma^2}}{(q^{h_\gamma^2}-1)^2} \left(V_0(\gamma; q^{h_\gamma^2}) - 1 \right) &= \frac{q^{h_\gamma^2}}{(q^{h_\gamma^2}-1)^2} \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{1}{l!} (q^{h_\gamma^2} - 1)^{l+1} \cdot J_0^l(\gamma) \\ &= -q^{h_\gamma^2} \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{1}{l!} (q^{h_\gamma^2} - 1)^{l-1} \cdot [D]_l \otimes h_\gamma^{-1}\gamma \\ &= \frac{q^{h_\gamma^2 \cdot D} - 1}{q^{-h_\gamma^2} - 1} \otimes h_\gamma^{-1}\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Now our result follows from comparing with Proposition 4.4. □

Remark 4.10. In the symmetric group case, Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 4.9 have been established by Lascoux and Thibon in a different approach [15] (as a generalization of the construction of the Virasoro algebra by I. Frenkel and the author [8]). Actually, we have been unsuccessfully seeking for the $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ algebra acting on $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ right after we found the Virasoro algebra construction. In particular, we noticed the relevance of the vertex operator $V(z, q)$, but did not come up with the right group-theoretic construction (i.e. the JM elements) at the time.

5. Applications: stability and algebra generators for $R(\Gamma_n)$

An observation made in [20] is the following. Assume that (**A1**) there exists a sequence of (finite-dimensional) Frobenius $\mathbb{C}$-algebras $A^{[n]}$ $(n \geq 0)$ such that $A^{[0]} = \mathbb{C}$ and $A = A^{[1]}$. (**A2**) the direct sum $\oplus_n A^{[n]}$ affords the structure of a Fock space of a Heisenberg algebra as in Theorem 2.1 (where $R(\Gamma)$ is replaced by A). (**A3**) There exists a sequence of elements $\Xi_n^k(\alpha) \in A^{[n]}$ depending on $\alpha \in A$ (linearly) and a non-negative integer k, which can be used to define operators

$\mathfrak{O}^k(\alpha)$. The operators $\mathfrak{O}^k(\alpha)$, $\mathfrak{b}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{O}^1(\alpha)$ and the Heisenberg algebra generators satisfy the relations as in Theorem 3.13 and Theorem 3.15 (where $R(\Gamma)$ is replaced by A). Then various statements, such as the stability and algebra generators, can be derived from these three axioms by a standard procedure using only the Heisenberg generators, the diagonal pushforward maps, the Euler class, etc. This was first done for cohomology rings of Hilbert schemes of points on projective surfaces [16], and then it has been applied to orbifold cohomology rings of the symmetric products [20].

In this section, we will formulate some consequences of these three axioms, which are the counterparts of the results obtained in [16] and in Section 4, [20]. We will mainly formulate the stability result which allow us to introduce the *stable algebra* $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma$ associated to Γ. The proofs are the same as in [16] (also cf. [20]) and thus will not be repeated here. There are several more consequences of these axioms which we do not reproduce here, cf. [16]. We further follow the ideas of Ivanov and Kerov [10] to establish that the structure constants of $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma$ are nonnegative integers.

5.1. **The stability in class algebras $R(\Gamma_n)$.** Let $s \geq 1$, and let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s \in R(\Gamma)$. Let $\pi = \{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_j\}$ be a partition of the set $\{1, \ldots, s\}$, and define $\ell(\pi) = j$, $\alpha_{\pi_i} = \prod_{m \in \pi_i} \alpha_m$. We denote by $\mathbf{1}_{-k} = \frac{\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1)^k}{k!}$ if $k \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{1}_{-k} = 0$ if $k < 0$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $n, s \geq 1$, $k_1, \ldots, k_s \geq 0$, and let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s \in R(\Gamma)$. Then, the convolution product $\Xi_n^{k_1}(\alpha_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Xi_n^{k_s}(\alpha_s)$ in $R(\Gamma_n)$ is a finite linear combination of expressions of the form*

$$\mathbf{1}_{-\left(n-\sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\pi)}\sum_{j=1}^{m_i-2r_i} n_{i,j}\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\pi)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_i-2r_i} \mathfrak{p}_{-n_{i,j}} \right) \left(\tau_{(m_i-2r_i)*}(\chi^{r_i}\alpha_{\pi_i})\right) \cdot |0\rangle$$

where π runs over all partitions of $\{1, \ldots, s\}$, $m_i, r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$2r_i \leq m_i \leq 2 + \sum_{j \in \pi_i} k_j,$$

$0 < n_{i,1} \leq \ldots \leq n_{i,m_i-2r_i}$, $\sum_{j=1}^{m_i-2r_i} n_{i,j} \leq \sum_{j \in \pi_i} (k_j + 1)$ *for every i, and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\pi)} \left(m_i - 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m_i-2r_i} n_{i,j} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i.$$

Moreover, all the coefficients in this linear combination are independent of the group Γ, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s$, and the integer n.

Remark 5.2. This proposition is the counterpart of a theorem in [16].

Theorem 5.3. *Let* $s \geq 1$ *and* $k_i \geq 1$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq s$. *Fix* $n_{i,j} \geq 1$ *and* $\alpha_{i,j} \in R(\Gamma)$ *for* $1 \leq j \leq k_i$, *and let* $n \geq \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} n_{i,j}$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq s$. *Then the convolution product*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{s} \left(\mathbf{1}_{-(n-\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} n_{i,j})} \prod_{j=1}^{k_i} \mathfrak{p}_{-n_{i,j}}(\alpha_{i,j}) \cdot |0\rangle \right)$$

in $R(\Gamma_n)$ *is equal to a finite linear combination of monomials of the form*

$$\mathbf{1}_{-(n-\sum_{a=1}^{N} m_a)} \prod_{a=1}^{N} \mathfrak{p}_{-m_a}(\gamma_a) \cdot |0\rangle$$

where $\sum_{a=1}^{N} m_a \leq \sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} n_{i,j}$, *and* $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_N$ *depend only on* $\chi, \alpha_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq k_i$. *Moreover, the coefficients in this linear combination are independent of* Γ, $\alpha_{i,j}$ *and* n.

5.2. **The stable algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma$.** For a given $\rho = (\rho(c))_{c\in\Gamma_*} \in \mathcal{P}(\Gamma_*)$, where $\rho(c) = (r^{m_r(c)})_{r\geq 1} = (1^{m_1(c)}2^{m_2(c)}\ldots)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{p}_{-\rho(c)}(K^c) &= \prod_{r\geq 1} \mathfrak{p}_{-r}(c)^{m_r(c)} = \mathfrak{p}_{-1}(K^c)^{m_1(c)} \mathfrak{p}_{-2}(K^c)^{m_2(c)} \cdots \\
\mathfrak{p}_\rho(n) &= \mathbf{1}_{-(n-\|\rho\|)} \prod_{c\in\Gamma_*} \mathfrak{p}_{-\rho(c)}(K^c) \cdot |0\rangle \in R(\Gamma_n), \quad \text{for } n \geq \|\rho\|
\end{aligned}$$

and set $\mathfrak{p}_\rho(n) = 0$ for $n < \|\rho\|$. We also define $\widetilde{\mathfrak{p}}_\rho(n) = \widetilde{z}_\rho^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_\rho(n)$, where the constant $\widetilde{z}_\rho = \prod_{r\geq 1, c\in\Gamma_*} r^{m_r(c)} m_r(c)!$ is independent of n.

For a given ρ with $\|\rho\| \leq n$, we denote by $\widetilde{\rho} = \rho \cup (1^{n-\|\rho\|}) \in \mathcal{P}_n(\Gamma_*)$, where $\widetilde{\rho}(c) = \rho(c)$ for $c \neq c^0$ and $\widetilde{\rho}(c^0)$ is obtained from $\rho(c^0)$ by increasing the multiplicity of 1 by $n - \|\rho\|$. The Frobenius characteristic map (cf. [17, 6]) allows one to identify the class function $\binom{n-\|\rho\|+m_1(c^0)}{m_1(c^0)} K^{\widetilde{\rho}}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_\rho(n)$.

As ρ runs over all partition-valued functions on Γ_* with $\|\rho\| \leq n$, the elements $\mathfrak{p}_\rho(n)$ linearly span $R(\Gamma_n)$, as a corollary to Theorem 2.1. According to Theorem 5.3 (for $s = 2$), we can write the product in the class algebra $R(\Gamma_n)$ as

$$\mathfrak{p}_\rho(n) \circ \mathfrak{p}_\sigma(n) = \sum_\nu d^\nu_{\rho\sigma} \mathfrak{p}_\nu(n), \tag{5.1}$$

where $\|\nu\| \leq \|\rho\| + \|\sigma\|$, and the structure coefficients $d^\nu_{\rho\sigma}$ are independent of n. Even though the elements $\mathfrak{p}_\nu(n)$ with $\|\nu\| \leq n$ in $R(\Gamma_n)$ are not linearly independent, we can show that (cf. [16]) the constants $d^\nu_{\rho\sigma}$ in the formula (5.1) are uniquely determined from the fact that they are independent of n. Similarly, we can write uniquely

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{p}}_\rho(n) \circ \widetilde{\mathfrak{p}}_\sigma(n) = \sum_\nu \widetilde{d}^\nu_{\rho\sigma} \widetilde{\mathfrak{p}}_\nu(n)$$

where $\|\nu\| \leq \|\rho\| + \|\sigma\|$, and the new structure coefficients $\widetilde{d}^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma}$ are also independent of n. Indeed, we have $\widetilde{d}^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma} = \widetilde{z}_\nu \widetilde{z}_\rho^{-1} \widetilde{z}_\sigma^{-1} d^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma}$.

The *stable algebra* associated to a finite group Γ, denoted by $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma$, is defined to be the algebra with a linear basis formed by the symbols $\mathfrak{p}_\rho$, $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\Gamma_*)$ and with the multiplication defined by

$$\mathfrak{p}_\rho \, \mathfrak{p}_\sigma = \sum_\nu d^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma} \mathfrak{p}_\nu$$

where the structure constants $d^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma}$ are from the relations (5.1) and $\|\nu\| \leq \|\rho\| + \|\sigma\|$.

Clearly the stable algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma$ itself is commutative and associative. The algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma$ captures all the information of the class algebra $R(\Gamma_n)$ for all n, as we easily recover the relations (5.1) from the algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma$. We summarize these observations into the following.

Theorem 5.4. (Stability) *For a finite group Γ, the product in the class algebras $R(\Gamma_n)$ $(n \geq 1)$ can be written in the form (5.1) whose structure constants are independent of n. This give rise to the stable algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma$ which completely encodes the class algebra structure of $R(\Gamma_n)$ for each n.*

Remark 5.5. In the formulation of the stable algebra, we have the freedom of choosing a linear basis of $R(\Gamma)$ (cf. Theorem 5.3). In the above formulation, we have choosen K^c (where $c \in \Gamma_*$) as the linear basis of $R(\Gamma)$, which allow us to establish below remarkable integrality and positivity properties of the structure constants.

Remark 5.6. Let Γ be trivial and thus Γ_n reduces to the symmetric group S_n. In this case, The stability of the class algebras was first given in Kerov and Olshanski (cf. [14], Proposition 3) from a totally different approach (cf. Lascoux-Thibon [15], Section 4, for another proof).

Remark 5.7. For $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\Gamma_*)$ with $\ell(\rho) = 1$, that is, when the partition $\rho(c)$ is a one-part partition (r) for some $c \in \Gamma_*$ and is empty for all the other class functions in Γ_*, we will simply write $\mathfrak{p}_\rho = \mathfrak{p}_{r,c}$. Following the techniques as developed in [16] (for cohomology rings of Hilbert schemes), we can show that the stable algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra generated by $\mathfrak{p}_{r,c}$, $c \in \Gamma_*, r \geq 1$.

5.3. **Positivity and integrality of the structure constants of $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma$.**

Theorem 5.8. *The structure constants $\widetilde{d}^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma}$ for the stable algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma$ are non-negative integers. So are $d^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma}$.*

Remark 5.9. In the symmetric group case (i.e. Γ is trivial), the integrality and positivity of these structure constants have been established by Ivanov and Kerov [10]. Theorem 5.8 also follows from a straightforward generalization of their ingenious constructions, which we outline below. In fact, this approach also provides a second proof of Theorem 5.4.

All the constructions of Ivanov and Kerov admit a straightforward generalization to the wreath product setup. We sketch below only the portion which will provide us a proof of Theorem 5.8, and refer to [10] for more detail.

Following [10], we introduce the semigroup of "partial permutations" $P\Gamma_n$ as follows. If Y is a finite set, we denote by S_Y the symmetric group of permutations on Y, and Γ_Y the corresponding wreath product. We denote by $\underline{n}$ the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, so $\Gamma_{\underline{n}}$ is just our usual Γ_n. For $Y \subset \underline{n}$, we regard Γ_Y as a subgroup of Γ_n.

A *partial permutation* of the set $\underline{n}$ is a pair (Y, a) which consists of a finite subset $Y \subset \underline{n}$ and an element $a \in \Gamma_Y$. Denote by $P\Gamma_n$ the set of all partial permutations of $\underline{n}$. The set $P\Gamma_n$ is endowed with a natural semigroup structure by letting the product of two elements (Y_1, a_1) and (Y_2, a_2) in $P\Gamma_n$ to be $(Y_1 \cup Y_2, a_1a_2)$. We denote by $\mathbb{Z}[P\Gamma_n]$ the semigroup algebra (over $\mathbb{Z}$).

The wreath product Γ_n acts on the semigroup $P\Gamma_n$ by $(Y, a) \mapsto (\sigma Y, xax^{-1})$, where $x = (g, \sigma) \in \Gamma_n$, $g \in \Gamma^n, \sigma \in S_n$. One easily shows that the corresponding conjugate classes $C_\rho(n)$ in $P\Gamma_n$ are parametrized by $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\Gamma_*)$ such that $\|\rho\| \leq n$. By abuse of notation, we will also use $C_\rho(n)$ to denote the characteristic function of the conjugate class $C_\rho(n)$. Denote by $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(P\Gamma_n)$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of the characteristic functions of the conjugate classes in $P\Gamma_n$.

There is a surjective "forgetful" ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[P\Gamma_n] \to \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_n]$ by $(Y, a) \mapsto a$, and it induces a surjective ring homomorphism $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(P\Gamma_n) \to R_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma_n)$ by sending $C_\rho(n)$ to $\binom{n-\|\rho\|+m_1(c^0)}{m_1(c^0)} K^{\widetilde{\rho}} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{p}}_\rho(n)$. It follows that

$$C_\rho(n)\ C_\sigma(n) = \sum_\nu \widetilde{d}^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma} C_\nu(n)$$

where $\widetilde{d}^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma}$ are the structure constants introduced earlier. This interpretation of $\widetilde{d}^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma}$ as the structure constants of $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(P\Gamma_n)$ implies immediately that all $\widetilde{d}^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma}$ are nonnegative integers.

We can also establish the fact that $\widetilde{d}^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma}$ (denoted by $\widetilde{d}^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma}(n)$ for the time being) are independent of n along this line as follows. Let $m \leq n$. We define a linear map $\theta_{n,m} : \mathbb{Z}[P\Gamma_n] \to \mathbb{Z}[P\Gamma_m]$ by letting $\theta_{n,m}(Y, a) = (Y, a)$ if $Y \subset \underline{m}$, and $\theta_{n,m}(Y, a) = 0$ otherwise. As in [10], we see that $\theta_{n,m}$ is a surjective ring homomorphism. Since the homomorphisms $\theta_{n,m}$ for all $m \leq n$ are compatible, we can define a projective limit of the algebras $\mathbb{Z}[P\Gamma_n]$, symbolically denoted by $\mathbb{Z}[P\Gamma_\infty]$, which has an induced algebra structure. The action of Γ_n acts on $P\Gamma_n$ induces an action of the group $\Gamma_\infty = \cup_n \Gamma_n$ on $P\Gamma_\infty = \cup_n P\Gamma_n$ and thus on $\mathbb{Z}[P\Gamma_\infty]$. One can show that the orbits in $P\Gamma_\infty$ under the action of Γ_∞ give us a linear basis $\widetilde{P}_\rho$ parametrized by $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\Gamma_*)$ on $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(P\Gamma_\infty)$, the algebra of Γ_∞-invariants on $\mathbb{Z}[P\Gamma_\infty]$. Write $\widetilde{P}_\rho\ \widetilde{P}_\sigma = \sum_\nu \widetilde{e}^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma} \widetilde{P}_\nu$. The homomorphisms $\theta_{n,m}$ induces a surjective ring homomorphism $\theta_n : R_{\mathbb{Z}}(P\Gamma_\infty) \to R_{\mathbb{Z}}(P\Gamma_n)$ by letting $\theta_n(\widetilde{P}_\rho) = C_\rho(n)$ if $\|\rho\| \leq n$ and $\theta_n(\widetilde{P}_\rho) = 0$ otherwise. It follows that $\widetilde{d}^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma}(n) = \widetilde{e}^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma}$ for ρ, σ, ν such that $\|\rho\| \leq n, \|\sigma\| \leq n$ and $\|\nu\| \leq n$. This implies that $\widetilde{d}^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma}$ is independent of n and that the stable algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma$ introduced earlier is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_{\mathbb{Z}}(P\Gamma_\infty)$.

A further elaboration (similar as above) using a concept of "filling" associated to Young diagram and the associated convolution introduced by Ivanov-Kerov will allow us to establish Theorem 5.8 for $d^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma}$. As these are straightforward modification of [10], we will not repeat here and instead refer to Sect. 8 of [10] for detail.

5.4. **Algebra generators for $R(\Gamma_n)$.** For $0 \leq i < n$ and $\alpha \in R(\Gamma)$, we introduce the following class function in $R(\Gamma_n)$:

$$P_i(\alpha, n) = \frac{1}{(n-i-1)!} \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{-i-1}(\alpha)\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(1_X)^{n-i-1}|0\rangle.$$

For $\alpha = K^c$, where $c \in \Gamma_*$, $P_i(\alpha, n)$ is a scalar multiple of the characteristic function of some conjugacy class in Γ_n.

Theorem 5.10. (i) *The class algebra $R(\Gamma_n)$ is generated by the class functions $\Xi^i_n(\alpha)$, where $0 \leq i < n$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma^*$.*

(ii) *The class algebra $R(\Gamma_n)$ is also generated by the class functions $P^i(\alpha, n)$, where $0 \leq i < n$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma^*$.*

Remark 5.11. Since $P_i(\alpha, n)$ depends on α linearly, we may replace Γ^* in the theorem by any linear basis of $R(\Gamma)$.

Remark 5.12. Let us set $\Gamma = 1$ and thus $\Gamma_n = S_n$. The power sums Ξ^k_n, $0 \leq k < n$ of the JM elements can easily be replaced by the k-th elementary symmetric functions of the JM elements in the above theorem, which is the statement of a theorem in [3]. Note that the k-th elementary symmetric functions of the JM elements is the sum of permutations in S_n with exactly $n - k$ cycles.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Borcherds, *Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **83** (1986), 3068–3071.

[2] W. Chen and Y. Ruan, *A new cohomology theory for orbifold*, arXiv:math.AG/0004129.

[3] H. Farahat and G. Higman, *The centres of symmetric group rings*, Proc. Roy. Soc. (A) **250** (1959), 212–221.

[4] E. Frenkel and D. Ben-Zvi, *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*, Math. Surveys and Monographs **88**, Amer. Math. Soc. (2001).

[5] E. Frenkel, V. Kac, A. Radul and W. Wang, *$\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ and $\mathcal{W}(gl_N)$ with central charge N*, Commun. Math. Phys. **170** (1995), 337–357.

[6] I. Frenkel, N. Jing and W. Wang, *Vertex representations via finite groups and the McKay correspondence*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **4** (2000), 195-222.

[7] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex operator algebras and the Monster*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.

[8] I. Frenkel and W. Wang, *Virasoro algebra and wreath product convolution*, J. Alg. **242** (2001), 656-671.

[9] I. Goulden, *A differential operator for symmetric functions and the combinatorics of multiplying transpositions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **344** (1994), 421–440.

[10] V. Ivanov and S. Kerov, *The algebra of conjugacy classes in symmetric groups and partial permutations*, J. Math. Sciences **107** (2001), 4212–4230.

[11] N. Jing, *Vertex operators, symmetric functions and the spin group Γ_n*, J. Alg. **138** (1991), 340-398.

[12] A. Jucys, *Symmetric polynomials and the center of the symmetric group rings*, Rep. Math. Phys. **5** (1974), 107–112.

[13] V. Kac, *Vertex Algebras for Beginners*, Second Ed., Univ. Lect. Ser. **10**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1998.

[14] S. Kerov and G. Olshanski, *Polynomial functions on the set of Young diagrams*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I **319** (1994), 121–126.

[15] A. Lascoux and J.-Y. Thibon, *Vertex operators and the class algebras of symmetric groups*, Zapiski Nauchnyh Seminarov POMI **283** (2001), 156-177, math.CO/0102041.

[16] W.-P. Li, Z. Qin, and W. Wang, *Stability of the cohomology rings of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, J. reine angew. Math. **554** (2003), to appear, arXiv:math.AG/0107139.

[17] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Second Ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.

[18] Y. Matsuo, *Free fields and quasi-finite representations of* $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$, Phys. Lett. **B 326** (1994), 95–100.

[19] G. Murphy, *A new construction of Young's seminormal representation of the symmetric group*, J. Alg. **69** (1981), 287–291.

[20] Z. Qin and W. Wang, *Hilbert schemes and symmetric products: a dictionary*, In: A. Adem *et al* (eds.), Proceedings for "Mathematical Aspects of Orbifold String Theory", Madison, Wisconsin, May 2001, Contemp. Math. **310** (2002), 233–257.

[21] W. Wang, *Equivariant K-theory, wreath products, and Heisenberg algebra*, Duke Math. J. **103** (2000), 1–23.

[22] ——, *Algebraic structures behind Hilbert schemes and wreath products*, In: S. Berman *et al* (eds.), Proceedings for "Infinite-dimensional Lie theory and conformal field theory", Charlottesville, Virginia, May 2000, Contemp. Math. **297** (2002), 271–295.

[23] ——, *The Farahat-Higman ring of wreath products and Hilbert schemes*, Preprint, 2002, math.QA/0205071.

[24] A. Zelevinsky, *Representations of finite classical groups. A Hopf algebra approach*, Lect. Notes in Math. **869**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1981.

Department of Mathematics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904; MSRI, 1000 Centennial Drive, Berkeley, CA 94720-5070

E-mail address: `ww9c@virginia.edu`